

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

 APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/823,569	23,569 04/14/2004		Hiroshi Fukuzaki	AIA-0154	4832
23353 7590 11/15/2005				EXAMINER	
RADER FIS		& GRAUER	PRASAD, CHANDRIKA		
1233 20TH STREET N.W., SUITE 501			1	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT		•		2839	

DATE MAILED: 11/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/823,569	FUKUZAKI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Chandrika Prasad	2839	

<u>-</u>			ł				
	Chandrika Prasad	2839					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 28 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance 	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date			tabana ti taka da				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	nliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal was filed on A blief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	0001100				
 (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further contains. 			ecause				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		1 = 50.011),					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	• •	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims	•				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		00.00					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			(
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s).	movable ii odbiiiii.ca iii a ooparate,	amory mod amondm	one cancoming the				
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro 		ll be entered and an	explanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	• •						
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			•				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		•					
8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	ut before or on the date of filing a N	otice of Appeal will no	ot be entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.				
11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s).					
13. Other:		$\frac{1}{2}$					
		atom					
		Chandrika Prasad Examiner					

Art Unit: 2839

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

The introduction of a second piece connected to the second bend section opposite the first piece with the first piece is not clear and makes the claims 1-15 indefinite. Furthermore such a limitation is not taught in the application and is thus not enabling. Thus the amendments to claim 1 introduce new issues requiring further consideration and/or search.